



Preparation of a new crown ether-based chiral stationary phase containing thioester linkage for the liquid chromatographic separation of enantiomers

Hwan Sun Cho, Hee Jung Choi, Myung Ho Hyun*

Department of Chemistry and Chemistry Institute for Functional Materials, Pusan National University, Busan 609-735, South Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Available online 16 April 2009

Keywords:

Chiral crown ether
Chiral stationary phase
Enantiomer separation
Liquid chromatography
Thioester linkage

ABSTRACT

A new chiral stationary phase (CSP) containing thioester linkages was prepared by bonding (+)-(18-crown-6)-2,3,11,12-tetracarboxylic acid to mercaptopropylsilica gel. The chiral recognition ability of the new CSP was found to be greater than that of the previously reported CSP containing amide linkages in the resolution of the various α -amino acids that were tested, except for that of Met, Ser and Thr. In the resolution of racemic amines and amino alcohols, the new CSP was always better than the one containing amide linkages in terms of the separation factors (α) and the resolutions (R_S). Given the identical elution orders on the two CSPs, it was concluded that the chiral recognition mechanism is not affected by the change of the linkage type. In addition, the new CSP was found to be quite stable under the acidic mobile phase conditions that were utilized, indicating that the thioester linkage is useful as a tethering group.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Chiral crown ethers have been successfully utilized as chiral selectors of chiral stationary phases (CSPs) for the liquid chromatographic separation of the two enantiomers of racemic primary amino compounds [1,2]. For example, (+)-(18-crown-6)-2,3,11,12-tetracarboxylic acid (**1**, Fig. 1) has been very successful as a chiral selector of CSPs for the liquid chromatographic resolution of racemic compounds containing a primary amino group [3,4]. Especially, CSP **2** (Fig. 1) developed in our laboratory by bonding (+)-(18-crown-6)-2,3,11,12-tetracarboxylic acid to 3-aminopropylsilica gel was very successful in the resolution of various racemic primary amino compounds including α -amino acids [5], β -amino acids [6], γ -amino acids [7], amino alcohols [8], amines [8], aryl α -aminoketones [9], tocainide and its analogues [10], di- and tri-peptides [11] and fluoroquinolone antibacterials [12]. CSP **2** was also quite successful in the resolution of racemic non-primary amino compounds including secondary amines [13], secondary amino alcohols [14,15] and *N*-(3,5-dinitrobenzoyl)- α -amino acids [16] and their amides [17].

Even though CSP **2** is quite effective, the unreacted aminopropyl groups remaining on the surface of the stationary phase, which originate from the incomplete process of bonding (+)-(18-crown-6)-2,3,11,12-tetracarboxylic acid to 3-aminopropylsilica gel, might impair the chiral recognition ability of the stationary phase. The

primary ammonium ions resulting from the protonation of the unreacted residual aminopropyl groups under acidic mobile phase conditions compete with those of analytes for the complexation inside the cavity of the crown ether ring of the stationary phase, which is known to be essential for chiral recognition [1,2]. Consequently, removing the unreacted residual aminopropyl groups of the CSP would be expected to improve the chiral recognition ability of the CSP.

In an effort to avoid the problems related to the unreacted residual aminopropyl groups of CSP **2**, in this study, we prepared a new CSP (CSP **3**, Fig. 1) and applied it to the resolution of various α -amino acids, amines and amino alcohols. CSP **3** intrinsically does not contain any unreacted aminopropyl groups, because the thioester linkage is used to connect (+)-(18-crown-6)-2,3,11,12-tetracarboxylic acid to silica gel and consequently, its chiral recognition ability is expected to be greater than that of CSP **2**.

2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation of CSP **3** and column packing

A slurry consisting of 3 g of Kromasil silica gel (5 μ m, surface area 305 m²/g, Eka Chemicals, Bohus, Sweden) in 100 ml of toluene was refluxed in a 250 ml round bottom flask equipped with a Dean-Stark trap, a condenser and a magnetic stirring bar until the complete azeotropic removal of water was achieved. Then, 3-mercaptopropyltrimethoxysilane (1.23 ml, 6.62 mmol) was added to the slurry. The whole mixture was gently stirred for three days at the reflux temperature and then, cooled to room temperature,

* Corresponding author at: Department of Chemistry, Pusan National University, Kuemjeong-Ku, Busan 609-735, South Korea. Fax: +82 51 516 7421.

E-mail address: mhhyun@pusan.ac.kr (M.H. Hyun).




Fig. 1. Structures of (+)-(18-crown-6)-2,3,11,12-tetracarboxylic acid **1**, CSP **2** and CSP **3**.

filtered and washed successively with methanol, acetone, ethyl acetate, methyl chloride, hexane and diethyl ether. The resulting modified silica gel (3-mercaptopropylsilica gel) was dried under high vacuum. The calculation based on the elemental analysis of 3-mercaptopropylsilica gel (found: C, 1.60%; H, 2.80%; S, 1.32%) showed that the surface concentration of 3-mercaptopropyl groups was $1.14 \mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2$ based on carbon.

3-Mercaptopropylsilica gel (3.0 g) was slurried into 100 ml of toluene in a 250 ml round bottom flask equipped with a Dean-Stark trap, a condenser and a magnetic stirring bar. The whole mixture was refluxed until the complete azeotropic removal of water was achieved. Then, toluene was removed under reduced pressure. To the residue were added dried methylene chloride (30 ml) and 2,6-lutidine (0.18 ml, 1.55 mmol). The heterogeneous solution was stirred for 30 min at 0°C . To this cooled solution was slowly added (+)-(18-crown-6)-2,3,11,12-tetracarboxylic dianhydride, which was prepared from (+)-(18-crown-6)-2,3,11,12-tetracarboxylic acid (325 mg, 0.74 mmol) via the reported procedure [5], in 15 ml of methylene chloride. The whole mixture was stirred for two days at room temperature under an argon atmosphere and then filtered and washed successively with methanol, acetone, ethyl acetate, methylene chloride, hexane and diethyl ether. The resulting modified silica gel (CSP **3**) was dried under high vacuum. The calculation based on the elemental analysis of CSP **3** (found: C, 4.55%; H, 2.60%; S, 1.23%) and the 3-mercaptopropylsilica gel according to the reported method [18] showed that the surface concentration of (+)-(18-crown-6)-2,3,11,12-tetracarboxylic acid was $0.48 \mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2$ based on carbon.

CSP **3** (2.2 g) was slurried in methanol and packed into a 150 mm \times 4.6 mm I.D. stainless steel HPLC column by using a conventional slurry packing method with an Alltech slurry packer.

2.2. Chromatography

Chromatography was performed with an HPLC system consisting of a Waters model 515 HPLC pump (Milford, MA, USA), a Rheodyne model 7725i injector (Rohnert Park, CA, USA) with a 20 μl sample loop, a Waters 2487 Dual absorbance detector and a YoungLin Autochro data module (Software: YoungLin Autochro

2000). The temperature of the chiral column was maintained at 20°C by using a Julabo F30 Ultratemp 2000 cooling circulator (Seelbach, Germany). The void volume was measured by injecting 2,6-lutidine. The racemic and optically active α -amino acids, amines and amino alcohols used in this study as analytes were obtained from Aldrich. The injection samples were prepared by dissolving each analyte in methanol at a concentration of 1.0 mg/ml. The usual injection volume was 3.0 μl .

3. Results and discussion

CSP **3** containing thioester linkages was applied first of all to the resolution of various α -amino acids. The mobile phase used for the resolution of α -amino acids on CSP **2** was 80% methanol containing 10 mM sulfuric acid. Under identical mobile phase conditions, the retention times of the two enantiomers for the resolution of α -amino acids on CSP **3** were quite long. The competition of the primary ammonium ions, resulting from the protonation of the unreacted residual aminopropyl groups of CSP **2** under acidic mobile phase conditions, with the primary ammonium ions of the analytes for complexation inside the cavity of the crown ether ring of the stationary phase might diminish the retention times of the two enantiomers. However, the primary ammonium ions originating from unreacted residual aminopropyl groups are not present on CSP **3** and consequently, the retention times of the enantiomers might be longer on CSP **3** than on CSP **2** under identical mobile phase conditions.

In order to reduce the retention times on CSP **3**, ammonium acetate was added to the mobile phase. The ammonium ions in the mobile phase are expected to compete with the primary ammonium ions of the analytes for complexation inside the cavity of the crown ether ring of the stationary phase and, consequently, the retention times of the enantiomers would be expected to be diminished. As shown in Fig. 2, the retention factor (k_1) decreases continuously as the concentration of ammonium acetate in the mobile phase (80% methanol containing 10 mM sulfuric acid) is increased. The separation factor (α) and the resolution (R_S) also decrease continuously as the concentration of ammonium acetate in the mobile phase is increased. Considering the retention times




Fig. 2. The chromatograms for the resolution of phenylglycine on CSP 3 with the variation of the content of ammonium acetate in the aqueous mobile phase (80% methanol in water containing 10 mM sulfuric acid). Flow rate: 0.5 ml/min. Detection: 210 nm UV. Temperature: 20 °C.

of the two enantiomers and the chiral recognition efficiency, 80% methanol containing 10 mM sulfuric acid and 1 mM ammonium acetate was selected as a suitable mobile phase condition for the resolution of α -amino acids on CSP 3.

The chromatographic results for the resolution of various α -amino acids on CSP 3 using this mobile phase are summarized and compared to those on CSP 2 in Table 1. In spite of the addition of ammonium acetate to the mobile phase to reduce the retention times, the retention factors (k_1) on CSP 3 are still greater than those on CSP 2 in every case, as shown in Table 1. Even though both CSP 2 and CSP 3 are effective for the resolution of α -amino acids, the chiral recognition efficiency denoted by the R_s values is generally greater on CSP 3 than on CSP 2 except for the resolution of Met, Ser and Thr. Interestingly, Asn, Cys and Ile show baseline

Table 1
Comparison of the resolutions of α -amino acids on CSP 2 and CSP 3^a.

α -Amino acids	CSP 2			CSP 3		
	k_1	α	R_s	k_1	α	R_s
Ala	1.37 (L)	1.28	1.33	4.17 (L)	1.28	2.52
Arg	1.46 (L)	1.48	1.91	2.39 (L)	1.84	5.01
Asn	1.31 (L)	1.10	0.63	2.12 (L)	1.31	2.81
Cys	1.32 (L)	1.10	0.30	3.16 (L)	1.37	3.35
Glu	1.30 (L)	1.44	1.78	3.14 (L)	1.31	2.83
Gln	1.31 (L)	1.32	1.72	3.84 (L)	1.26	2.05
His	2.28 (L)	1.48	1.33	3.74 (L)	1.83	4.75
Ile	0.47 (L)	1.19	0.75	1.97 (L)	1.22	1.90
Leu	0.73 (L)	1.32	1.42	1.60 (L)	1.23	2.05
Met	1.27 (L)	1.39	2.06	3.90 (L)	1.20	1.62
Phe	0.88 (L)	1.48	2.31	1.39 (L)	1.42	3.42
PheGly	2.07 (L)	2.25	6.46	9.58 (L)	2.35	10.46
Ser	1.94 (D)	1.52	1.63	2.26 (D)	1.08	0.70
Thr	0.24 (D)	1.42	1.30	0.45 (D)	1.11	0.35
Trp	0.92 (L)	1.44	2.15	2.90 (L)	1.45	3.95
Val	0.40 (L)	1.31	1.14	1.51 (L)	1.40	3.01

^a All data on CSP 2 (mobile phase: 80% methanol in water + 10 mM sulfuric acid) were quoted from Ref. [5]. Chromatographic conditions on CSP 3 are as following. Mobile phase: 80% methanol in water + 10 mM sulfuric acid + 1 mM ammonium acetate. Flow rate: 0.5 ml/min. Detection: 210 nm UV. Temperature: 20 °C. k_1 : retention factor of the first eluted enantiomer. In the parenthesis, the absolute configuration of the first eluted enantiomer is presented. α : separation factor. R_s : resolution factor.

resolutions with reasonable α values on CSP 3, whereas they show non-baseline resolutions with marginal α values on CSP 2. However, Ser and Thr show non-baseline resolutions with marginal α values on CSP 3, but baseline resolutions with reasonable α values on CSP 2. From these results, we can say that CSP 2 and CSP 3 are complementary to each other for the resolution of some α -amino acids. The elution orders for the resolution of α -amino acids on CSP 3 are identical to those on CSP 2. Consequently, the chiral recognition mechanism for the resolution of α -amino acids is thought to be unaffected by the change of the linkage type from amide to thioester.




Fig. 3. Structures of primary amines 4 and amino alcohols 5 used in this study. For racemic compounds 5b, 5c and 5d, the relative stereochemistry is shown.

Table 2

Comparison of the resolutions of racemic amines **4** and amino alcohols **5** on CSP **2** and CSP **3**^a.

Analytes	CSP 2			CSP 3		
	k_1	α	R_S	k_1	α	R_S
4a	0.42	1.22	0.82	9.76	1.14	1.23
4b	1.90 (S)	1.28	2.57	8.83 (S)	1.41	3.58
4c	2.02 (S)	1.45	1.79	6.61 (S)	1.46	4.24
4d	1.38	1.84	5.23	6.84	1.96	7.23
4e	0.25	3.21	3.85	1.13	4.05	11.60
4f	0.99	1.16	1.16	4.68	1.22	2.27
4g	0.46	1.13	0.73	1.72	1.16	1.35
4h	1.28	1.00		5.30	1.32	2.77
4i	1.40 (S)	1.11	1.02	18.07	1.19	2.21
4j	1.16 (R)	1.55	3.27	4.65 (R)	1.74	6.26
4k	0.51	1.38	1.09	2.34	1.65	5.22
4l	0.49	1.67	1.92	3.01	2.31	8.45
4m	0.51	1.39	1.69	2.74	1.53	4.44
4n	2.49	1.03	0.28	11.79	1.12	1.33
5a	0.92	1.19	1.41	19.96	1.20	2.21
5b	0.21	1.00		2.88 (1S,2R)	1.20	1.78
5c	0.29 (1S,2R)	1.53	1.48	5.69 (1S,2R)	1.80	6.25
5d	1.98 (1R,2S)	1.78	1.14	4.26 (1R,2S)	1.19	1.92

^a All data on CSP **2** (mobile phase: 80% methanol in water + 10 mM sulfuric acid) were quoted from Ref. [8] except for the underlined data, which were quoted from Ref. [19]. Chromatographic conditions on CSP **3** are as following. Mobile phase: 80% methanol in water + 10 mM trifluoroacetic acid + 1 mM ammonium acetate. Flow rate: 0.5 ml/min. Detection: 210 nm UV. Temperature: 20 °C. k_1 : retention factor of the first eluted enantiomer. In the parenthesis, the absolute configuration of the first eluted enantiomer is presented. α : separation factor. R_S : resolution factor.

CSP **3** was also applied to the resolution of racemic primary amines and amino alcohols. To accomplish this, we tried sulfuric acid, trifluoroacetic acid, perchloric acid and acetic acid as an acidic modifier at an identical concentration (10 mM) in an aqueous mobile phase and found that trifluoroacetic acid was generally better than the other acids. Consequently, 80% ethanol containing 10 mM trifluoroacetic acid and 1 mM ammonium acetate was used as a mobile phase for the resolution of racemic amines and amino alcohols on CSP **3**. The chromatographic results for the resolution of racemic amines **4** (Fig. 3) and amino alcohols **5** (Fig. 3) on CSP **3** are summarized and compared to those on CSP **2** in Table 2. The k_1 values for the resolution of amines and amino alcohols were larger on CSP **3** than on CSP **2**, as observed for the resolution of α -amino acids. Interestingly, the k_1 values for the resolution of racemic amine **4i** ($k_1 = 18.07$) and amino alcohol **5a** ($k_1 = 19.96$) on CSP **3** were notably larger than those for the resolution of the other amines and amino alcohols. The complexation of the primary ammonium ions of the analytes inside the cavity of the crown ether ring of the CSP should become a quite significant mode for the retention of analytes on CSP **3** because of the absence of any unreacted residual aminopropyl groups on its surface. In this instance, the complexation of the sterically less hindered primary ammonium ions ($-\text{CH}_2-\text{NH}_3^+$) of **4i** and **5a** inside the cavity of the crown ether ring of CSP **3** seems to be more prominent.

As shown in Table 2, the α values for the resolution of racemic amines and amino alcohols on CSP **3** are always greater than those on CSP **2** except in the case of racemic amine **4a** and racemic amino alcohol **5d**. In addition, the R_S values are always greater on CSP **3** than on CSP **2** without exception. Especially, racemic amine **4h** and racemic amino alcohol **5b** were resolved very well on CSP **3**,

whereas they were not resolved at all on CSP **2**. Consequently, it is concluded that the chiral recognition ability of CSP **3** for the two enantiomers of racemic amines and amino alcohols is greater than that of CSP **2**. The elution orders determined by injecting configurationally known samples were identical on the two CSPs. Consequently, the chiral recognition mechanism for the resolution of amines and amino alcohols is also unaffected by the change of the linkage type.

The stability of CSP **3** was checked by monitoring the chromatographic behaviors for the resolution of selected analytes such as Leu and Phe during the elution of 25,000 ml of a mobile phase consisting of 80% ethanol in water containing sulfuric acid (10 mM) and ammonium acetate (1 mM) at 20 °C. During the experimental period, the k_1 values decrease initially quite a lot, but stabilize very quickly. The α values slightly increase initially and then remain almost constant and the R_S values also remain almost constant. From these results, it is concluded that the thioester linkage utilized in CSP **3** is quite stable under acidic mobile phase conditions. Actually, the chiral column packed with CSP **3** showed almost unchanged chiral recognition ability over a period of more than one year.

4. Conclusion

CSP **3** containing thioester linkages was found to be generally better than CSP **2** containing amide linkages for the resolution of racemic α -amino acids, amines and amino alcohols. The chiral recognition mechanism was found to be unaffected by the change of the linkage type. In addition, the thioester linkage was quite stable under acidic mobile phase conditions. Consequently, it was concluded that the thioester linkage is quite useful as a tethering group for connecting chiral selectors to silica gel in the preparation of CSPs.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by a grant-in-aid for the National Core Research Center Program (R15-2006-022-03001-0).

References

- [1] M.H. Hyun, in: G. Subramanian (Ed.), *Chiral Separation Techniques: A Practical Approach*, 3rd (completely revised and updated) ed., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2007 (Chapter 8).
- [2] H.J. Choi, M.H. Hyun, *J. Liq. Chromatogr. Related Technol.* 30 (2007) 853.
- [3] Y. Machida, H. Nishi, K. Nakamura, H. Nakai, T. Sato, *J. Chromatogr. A* 805 (1998) 82.
- [4] M.H. Hyun, *J. Sep. Sci.* 29 (2006) 750.
- [5] M.H. Hyun, J.S. Jin, W. Lee, *J. Chromatogr. A* 822 (1998) 155.
- [6] M.H. Hyun, Y.J. Cho, J.S. Jin, *J. Sep. Sci.* 25 (2002) 648.
- [7] S.J. Lee, H.S. Cho, H.J. Choi, M.H. Hyun, *J. Chromatogr. A* 1188 (2008) 318.
- [8] M.H. Hyun, J.S. Jin, H.J. Koo, W. Lee, *J. Chromatogr. A* 837 (1999) 75.
- [9] M.H. Hyun, G. Tan, Y.J. Cho, *J. Liq. Chromatogr. Related Technol.* 27 (2004) 1671.
- [10] M.H. Hyun, H.J. Min, Y.J. Cho, *Bull. J. Korean Chem. Soc.* 24 (2003) 911–915.
- [11] U. Conrad, B. Chankvetadze, G.K.E. Scriba, *J. Sep. Sci.* 28 (2005) 2275.
- [12] M.H. Hyun, S.C. Han, J.S. Jin, W. Lee, *Chromatographia* 52 (2000) 473.
- [13] R.J. Steffek, Y. Zelechonok, K.H. Gahm, *J. Chromatogr. A* 947 (2002) 301.
- [14] D. Zhang, F. Li, D.H. Kim, H.J. Choi, M.H. Hyun, *J. Chromatogr. A* 1083 (2005) 89.
- [15] H.J. Choi, Y.J. Park, M.H. Hyun, *J. Chromatogr. A* 1164 (2007) 235.
- [16] M.H. Hyun, G. Tan, J.Y. Xue, *J. Chromatogr. A* 1097 (2005) 188.
- [17] G. Tan, J.Y. Xue, M.H. Hyun, *J. Sep. Sci.* 29 (2006) 1407.
- [18] T. Li, *J. Chromatogr. A* 1035 (2004) 151.
- [19] M.H. Hyun, Y.J. Cho, J.A. Kim, J.S. Jin, *J. Chromatogr. A* 984 (2003) 163.